



East[®] Architect Software for Designing, Simulating and Monitoring Clinical Trials

PhUSE 2012 Budapest

Hrishikesh Kulkarni, Cytel Inc. Sheetal Solanki, Cytel Inc. www.cytel.com





- What is East[®] Architect?
- A tour through East Architect with a case study. Features covered on the way:
 - Design
 - Simulation
 - Interim Monitoring
- Canvas: A convenient reporting tool
- Other interesting features

East: most widely used, validated software of it's kind, installed at every major pharma company, scores of specialty biotech and device developers, and the FDA



nuse

Case Study : Schizophrenia Trial

- Primary Endpoint: Change in Negative Symptoms Assessment (NSA) after 26 weeks
- At least a 2-point improvement is considered as significant
- Desired Power is 90% and level of significance is fixed to 2.5 %
- Common Standard Deviation is anticipated to be 7.5 units

ohuse

Designing using East Architect

- Exhaustive creation and comparison of multiple designs
- Selection of designs based on some criteria using Filter
- Ability to establish stopping rules for efficacy and/or futility
- Ability to construct custom combinations of Efficacy and Futility stopping boundaries

huse

Accrual/Dropout Effect in Simulations

	Wbk1:Des9	Wbk1:Des9:Sim1	Wbk1:Des9:Sim2	Wbk1:Des9:Sim3
Mnemonics	MN-2S-DI	MN-2S-DI	MN-2S-DI	MN-2S-DI
Test Parameters				
Trial Type	Superiority	Superiority	Superiority	Superiority
No. of Looks	3	3	3	3
Test Type	1–Sided	1–Sided	1–Sided	1–Sided
Specified α	0.025			
Specified Power	0.9			
Attained Power	0.9	0.901	0.869	0.827
Accrual & Dropout Parameters				
Accrual Rate	8			
Response Lag	26	26	26	26
Probability of Dropout	0	0	0.1	0.2
No. of Accrual Periods			1	1
Sample Size				
Maximum	723	723	723	723
Expected Under H0	558.166			
Expected Under H1	678.876			
Completers				
Maximum	723	723	650	578

Final Design for Monitoring



Design: Continuous Endpoint: Two-Sample Test - Parallel Design - Difference of Means

Test Parameters:

Des9
Superiority
3
1-Sided
0.025
0.02
0.9
0.9

Stopping Boundaries: Look by Look

Look	Info.	nfo. Sample Cumulative Cu		Cumulative	Boundaries		
#	Fraction (s/s_max)	Size (n)	α Spent	α β Spent Spent		Futility Z	
1	0.333	449	0.0001	0.0452	3.7103	0.3764	
2	0.667	690	0.006	0.0762	2.5114	1.2783	
3	1	723	0.025	0.0998	1.993	1.993	

Completers, Sample Size, Pipeline and Analysis Times: Look by Look

Model Parameters:

Test Statistic:	Normal
Input Method:	Individual Means
Mean Control (µ _c):	0
Mean Treatment (µ _{t1}):	2
$\delta = \mu_t - \mu_c$	
Under H0:	0
Under H1:	2
Std. Deviation (σ):	7.5
Allocation Ratio (n _t /n _c):	1

Boundary Parameters:

Spacing of Looks:	Equal
Efficacy Boundary:	LD (OF)
Futility Boundary:	LD (PK) (NB)

Accrual/Dropout Parameters:

Accrual Rate:		
Response Lag:	26	
Probability of Dropout:	0	

Look # Info. Fraction (s/s_max) Size (Info.	C	Completers (s)	Pipeline (n-s)	Time	Incr. Boundary Crossing Prob.			
	Fraction	Sample Size (n)			of	Under H0		Under H1	
				Look	Efficacy	Futility	Efficacy	Futility	
1	0.333	449	241	208	56.125	0.0001	0.6467	0.0505	0.0452
2	0.667	690	482	208	86.25	0.0059	0.2657	0.6077	0.031
3	1	723	723	0	116.375	0.0139	0.0678	0.2421	0.0236

Sample Size Information:

	Maximum	Expected H1	Expected H0
Sample Size (n)	723	678.876	558.166
Sample Size Treatment (n_t)	361	337.68	268.047
Sample Size Control (n_c)	362	338.041	268.776
Completers (s)	723	522.991	345.817
Completers Treatment (s_t)	361	261.315	172.544
Completers Control (s_c)	362	261.676	173.273
Accrual Duration	90.375	68.255	62.711
Study Duration	116.375	94.255	88.711
Information	3.213	2.324	1.537

Decision Making using Interim Monitoring

- Analyzing data at regular time intervals
- Boundaries, Repeated CI computed at each look
- Final Inference computed at the end
- Other useful functionalities
 - Custom charts, tables and graphs
 - Conditional Power Calculator
 - \succ R integration



Feature to create customized reports

 Can extract data from any output window of East and drag-n-drop to Canvas

 Consolidated report can be presented in the PDF or HTML format

Other Interesting Features



- Selection of rules for establishing efficacy/futility boundaries at selected interim looks
- Boundary family combinations to determine efficacy and futility boundaries

Simulations

- Stratified simulations
- Adaptive simulations

IM & Analysis

- R and SAS integration
- Conditional Power calculations for adaptive trials
- Conditional simulations

onuse



Thank you!



Q & A

hrishikesh.kulkarni@cytel.com sheetal.solanki@cytel.com

www.cytel.com